

E:\NEWRAND.BIN using bits 2 to 25 1.970

duplicate spacings	number observed	number expected
0	62.	67.668
1	145.	135.335
2	136.	135.335
3	93.	90.224
4	42.	45.112
5	14.	18.045
6 to INF	8.	8.282

Chisquare with 6 d.o.f. = 2.38 p-value= .118831
 ::

For a sample of size 500: mean

E:\NEWRAND.BIN using bits 3 to 26 2.022

duplicate spacings	number observed	number expected
0	67.	67.668
1	139.	135.335
2	122.	135.335
3	97.	90.224
4	44.	45.112
5	27.	18.045
6 to INF	4.	8.282

Chisquare with 6 d.o.f. = 8.61 p-value= .803541
 ::

For a sample of size 500: mean

E:\NEWRAND.BIN using bits 4 to 27 2.094

duplicate spacings	number observed	number expected
0	56.	67.668
1	138.	135.335
2	132.	135.335
3	100.	90.224
4	41.	45.112
5	22.	18.045
6 to INF	11.	8.282

Chisquare with 6 d.o.f. = 5.34 p-value= .498964
 ::

For a sample of size 500: mean

E:\NEWRAND.BIN using bits 5 to 28 2.038

duplicate spacings	number observed	number expected
0	72.	67.668
1	119.	135.335
2	139.	135.335
3	95.	90.224
4	48.	45.112
5	20.	18.045
6 to INF	7.	8.282

Chisquare with 6 d.o.f. = 3.20 p-value= .216172
 ::

For a sample of size 500: mean

E:\NEWRAND.BIN using bits 6 to 29 2.078

duplicate spacings	number observed	number expected
0	68.	67.668
1	118.	135.335


```

:: derings of five numbers. Thus the 5th, 6th, 7th,...numbers ::
:: each provide a state. As many thousands of state transitions ::
:: are observed, cumulative counts are made of the number of ::
:: occurrences of each state. Then the quadratic form in the ::
:: weak inverse of the 120x120 covariance matrix yields a test ::
:: equivalent to the likelihood ratio test that the 120 cell ::
:: counts came from the specified (asymptotically) normal dis- ::
:: tribution with the specified 120x120 covariance matrix (with ::
:: rank 99). This version uses 1,000,000 integers, twice. ::
::

```

```

OPERM5 test for file E:\NEWRAND.BIN

```

```

For a sample of 1,000,000 consecutive 5-tuples,
chisquare for 99 degrees of freedom=122.767; p-value= .947040

```

```

OPERM5 test for file E:\NEWRAND.BIN

```

```

For a sample of 1,000,000 consecutive 5-tuples,
chisquare for 99 degrees of freedom= 67.016; p-value= .005756

```

```

::
:: This is the BINARY RANK TEST for 31x31 matrices. The leftmost::
:: 31 bits of 31 random integers from the test sequence are used::
:: to form a 31x31 binary matrix over the field {0,1}. The rank ::
:: is determined. That rank can be from 0 to 31, but ranks< 28 ::
:: are rare, and their counts are pooled with those for rank 28::
:: Ranks are found for 40,000 such random matrices and a chisqua- ::
:: re test is performed on counts for ranks 31,30,29 and <=28. ::
::

```

```

Binary rank test for E:\NEWRAND.BIN

```

```

Rank test for 31x31 binary matrices:

```

```

rows from leftmost 31 bits of each 32-bit integer

```

rank	observed	expected	(o-e)^2/e	sum
28	218	211.4	.204914	.205
29	5162	5134.0	.152595	.358
30	23004	23103.0	.424632	.782
31	11616	11551.5	.359875	1.142

```

chisquare= 1.142 for 3 d. of f.; p-value= .375672

```

```

::
:: This is the BINARY RANK TEST for 32x32 matrices. A random 32x::
:: 32 binary matrix is formed, each row a 32-bit random integer::
:: The rank is determined. That rank can be from 0 to 32, ranks ::
:: less than 29 are rare, and their counts are pooled with those::
:: for rank 29. Ranks are found for 40,000 such random matrices::
:: and a chisquare test is performed on counts for ranks 32,31,::
:: 30 and <=29. ::
::

```

```

Binary rank test for E:\NEWRAND.BIN

```

```

Rank test for 32x32 binary matrices:

```

```

rows from leftmost 32 bits of each 32-bit integer

```

rank	observed	expected	(o-e)^2/e	sum
29	210	211.4	.009511	.010
30	5056	5134.0	1.185350	1.195
31	23178	23103.0	.243170	1.438
32	11556	11551.5	.001734	1.440

```

chisquare= 1.440 for 3 d. of f.; p-value= .418849

```


r =5	21815	21743.9	.232	2.444
r =6	77195	77311.8	.176	2.621

$p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.73025$

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG E:\NEWRAND.BIN
b-rank test for bits 7 to 14

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	905	944.3	1.636	1.636
r =5	21698	21743.9	.097	1.733
r =6	77397	77311.8	.094	1.826

$p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.59878$

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG E:\NEWRAND.BIN
b-rank test for bits 8 to 15

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	941	944.3	.012	.012
r =5	21791	21743.9	.102	.114
r =6	77268	77311.8	.025	.138

$p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.06685$

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG E:\NEWRAND.BIN
b-rank test for bits 9 to 16

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	951	944.3	.048	.048
r =5	21605	21743.9	.887	.935
r =6	77444	77311.8	.226	1.161

$p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.44034$

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG E:\NEWRAND.BIN
b-rank test for bits 10 to 17

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	926	944.3	.355	.355
r =5	21733	21743.9	.005	.360
r =6	77341	77311.8	.011	.371

$p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.16939$

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG E:\NEWRAND.BIN
b-rank test for bits 11 to 18

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	946	944.3	.003	.003
r =5	21749	21743.9	.001	.004
r =6	77305	77311.8	.001	.005

$p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.00242$

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG E:\NEWRAND.BIN
b-rank test for bits 12 to 19

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	1012	944.3	4.853	4.853
r =5	21800	21743.9	.145	4.998
r =6	77188	77311.8	.198	5.196

$p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.92559$

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG E:\NEWRAND.BIN
b-rank test for bits 13 to 20

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	927	944.3	.317	.317
r =5	21912	21743.9	1.300	1.617

r =6 77161 77311.8 .294 1.911
p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .61532

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG E:\NEWRAND.BIN
b-rank test for bits 14 to 21

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	962	944.3	.332	.332
r =5	21758	21743.9	.009	.341
r =6	77280	77311.8	.013	.354

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .16220

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG E:\NEWRAND.BIN
b-rank test for bits 15 to 22

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	992	944.3	2.409	2.409
r =5	21654	21743.9	.372	2.781
r =6	77354	77311.8	.023	2.804

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .75391

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG E:\NEWRAND.BIN
b-rank test for bits 16 to 23

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	912	944.3	1.105	1.105
r =5	21617	21743.9	.741	1.846
r =6	77471	77311.8	.328	2.173

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .66266

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG E:\NEWRAND.BIN
b-rank test for bits 17 to 24

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	980	944.3	1.350	1.350
r =5	21571	21743.9	1.375	2.724
r =6	77449	77311.8	.243	2.968

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .77326

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG E:\NEWRAND.BIN
b-rank test for bits 18 to 25

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	966	944.3	.499	.499
r =5	21749	21743.9	.001	.500
r =6	77285	77311.8	.009	.509

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .22473

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG E:\NEWRAND.BIN
b-rank test for bits 19 to 26

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	975	944.3	.998	.998
r =5	21906	21743.9	1.208	2.206
r =6	77119	77311.8	.481	2.687

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .73910

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG E:\NEWRAND.BIN
b-rank test for bits 20 to 27

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	960	944.3	.261	.261
r =5	21742	21743.9	.000	.261
r =6	77298	77311.8	.002	.264

:: The mean number of missing words in a sequence of 2^{21} four-
 :: letter words, (2^{21+3} "keystrokes"), is again 141909, with
 :: $\sigma = 295$. The mean is based on theory; σ comes from
 :: extensive simulation.

:: The DNA test considers an alphabet of 4 letters: ,G,A,T,
 :: determined by two designated bits in the sequence of random
 :: integers being tested. It considers 10-letter words, so that
 :: as in OPSO and QQSO, there are 2^{20} possible words, and the
 :: mean number of missing words from a string of 2^{21} (over-
 :: lapping) 10-letter words (2^{21+9} "keystrokes") is 141909.
 :: The standard deviation $\sigma=339$ was determined as for QQSO
 :: by simulation. (σ for OPSO, 290, is the true value (to
 :: three places), not determined by simulation.

OPSO test for generator E:\NEWRAND.BIN

Output: No. missing words (mw), equiv normal variate (z), p-value (p)

				mw	z	p
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	23 to 32	141824	-.294	.3843	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	22 to 31	141780	-.446	.3278	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	21 to 30	142043	.461	.6776	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	20 to 29	142054	.499	.6911	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	19 to 28	141888	-.074	.4707	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	18 to 27	141815	-.325	.3725	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	17 to 26	141553	-1.229	.1096	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	16 to 25	142008	.340	.6332	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	15 to 24	141704	-.708	.2395	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	14 to 23	142454	1.878	.9698	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	13 to 22	141960	.175	.5694	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	12 to 21	141260	-2.239	.0126	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	11 to 20	141796	-.391	.3480	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	10 to 19	141782	-.439	.3303	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	9 to 18	142184	.947	.8282	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	8 to 17	141936	.092	.5366	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	7 to 16	141697	-.732	.2320	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	6 to 15	141908	-.005	.4982	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	5 to 14	141892	-.060	.4762	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	4 to 13	141479	-1.484	.0689	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	3 to 12	141603	-1.056	.1454	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	2 to 11	141530	-1.308	.0954	
OPSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	1 to 10	142182	.940	.8265	

QQSO test for generator E:\NEWRAND.BIN

Output: No. missing words (mw), equiv normal variate (z), p-value (p)

				nw	z	p
QQSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	28 to 32	141905	-.015	.4941	
QQSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	27 to 31	141633	-.937	.1745	
QQSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	26 to 30	142307	1.348	.9112	
QQSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	25 to 29	141825	-.286	.3875	
QQSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	24 to 28	142290	1.290	.9015	
QQSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	23 to 27	142313	1.368	.9144	
QQSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	22 to 26	141969	.202	.5802	
QQSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	21 to 25	141567	-1.160	.1229	
QQSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	20 to 24	141842	-.228	.4097	
QQSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	19 to 23	142035	.426	.6649	
QQSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	18 to 22	141300	-2.066	.0194	
QQSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	17 to 21	141752	-.533	.2969	
QQSO for E:\NEWRAND.BIN	using bits	16 to 20	141979	.236	.5934	

:: words, each "letter" taking values A,B,C,D,E. The letters are::
 :: determined by the number of 1's in a byte:: 0,1,or 2 yieldA,::
 :: 3 yields B, 4 yields C, 5 yields D and 6,7 or 8 yield E. Thus::
 :: we have a monkey at a typewriter hitting five keys with vari-::
 :: ous probabilities (37,56,70,56,37 over 256). There are 5^5 ::
 :: possible 5-letter words, and from a string of 256,000 (over- ::
 :: lapping) 5-letter words, counts are made on the frequencies ::
 :: for each word. The quadratic form in the weak inverse of ::
 :: the covariance matrix of the cell counts provides a chisquare::
 :: test:: Q5-Q4, the difference of the naive Pearson sums of ::
 :: (OBS-EXP)^2/EXP on counts for 5- and 4-letter cell counts. ::
 ::

Test results for E:\NEWRAND.BIN
 Chi-square with 5^5-5^4=2500 d.of f. for sample size:2560000
 chisquare equiv normal p-value

Results fo COUNT-THE-1's in successive bytes:
 byte stream for E:\NEWRAND.BIN 2638.24 1.955 .974710
 byte stream for E:\NEWRAND.BIN 2523.83 .337 .631966
 \$

:: This is the COUNT-THE-1's TEST for specific bytes. ::
 :: Consider the file under test as a stream of 32-bit integers. ::
 :: From each integer, a specific byte is chosen , say the left- ::
 :: most:: bits 1 to 8. Each byte can contain from 0 to 8 1's, ::
 :: with probabilitie 1,8,28,56,70,56,28,8,1 over 256. Now let ::
 :: the specified bytes from successive integers provide a string::
 :: of (overlapping) 5-letter words, each "letter" taking values ::
 :: A,B,C,D,E. The letters are determined by the number of 1's, ::
 :: in that byte:: 0,1,or 2 ---> A, 3 ---> B, 4 ---> C, 5 --->D, ::
 :: and 6,7 or 8 ---> E. Thus we have a monkey at a typewriter ::
 :: hitting five keys with with various probabilities:: 37,56,70, ::
 :: 56,37 over 256. There are 5^5 possible 5-letter words, and ::
 :: from a string of 256,000 (overlapping) 5-letter words, counts::
 :: are made on the frequencies for each word. The quadratic form::
 :: in the weak inverse of the covariance matrix of the cell ::
 :: counts provides a chisquare test:: Q5-Q4, the difference of ::
 :: the naive Pearson sums of (OBS-EXP)^2/EXP on counts for 5- ::
 :: and 4-letter cell counts. ::
 ::

Chi-square with 5^5-5^4=2500 d.of f. for sample size: 256000
 chisquare equiv normal p value

Results for COUNT-THE-1's in specified bytes:

bits 1 to 8	2443.36	-.801	.211576
bits 2 to 9	2468.03	-.452	.325607
bits 3 to 10	2615.71	1.636	.949120
bits 4 to 11	2417.00	-1.174	.120242
bits 5 to 12	2459.10	-.578	.281507
bits 6 to 13	2343.22	-2.217	.013305
bits 7 to 14	2557.17	.809	.790605
bits 8 to 15	2491.90	-.114	.454428
bits 9 to 16	2499.81	-.003	.498932
bits 10 to 17	2429.40	-.998	.159020
bits 11 to 18	2493.17	-.097	.461551
bits 12 to 19	2431.89	-.963	.167705
bits 13 to 20	2483.46	-.234	.407534
bits 14 to 21	2486.50	-.191	.424294
bits 15 to 22	2454.75	-.640	.261094

bits 16 to 23	2610.64	1.565	.941167
bits 17 to 24	2473.63	-.373	.354604
bits 18 to 25	2538.05	.538	.704738
bits 19 to 26	2553.24	.753	.774266
bits 20 to 27	2486.59	-.190	.424808
bits 21 to 28	2491.85	-.115	.454137
bits 22 to 29	2464.06	-.508	.305630
bits 23 to 30	2420.80	-1.120	.131347
bits 24 to 31	2384.78	-1.629	.051611
bits 25 to 32	2493.77	-.088	.464876

\$

.....

```

::          THIS IS A PARKING LOT TEST          ::
:: In a square of side 100, randomly "park" a car---a circle of ::
:: radius 1.  Then try to park a 2nd, a 3rd, and so on, each  ::
:: time parking "by ear".  That is, if an attempt to park a car ::
:: causes a crash with one already parked, try again at a new  ::
:: random location. (To avoid path problems, consider parking  ::
:: helicopters rather than cars.)  Each attempt leads to either::
:: a crash or a success, the latter followed by an increment to ::
:: the list of cars already parked.  If we plot n:  the number of::
:: attempts, versus k::  the number successfully parked, we geta::
:: curve that should be similar to those provided by a perfect  ::
:: random number generator.  Theory for the behavior of such a  ::
:: random curve seems beyond reach, and as graphics displays are::
:: not available for this battery of tests, a simple characteriz::
:: ation of the random experiment is used: k, the number of cars::
:: successfully parked after n=12,000 attempts.  Simulation shows::
:: that k should average 3523 with sigma 21.9 and is very close ::
:: to normally distributed.  Thus (k-3523)/21.9 should be a st- ::
:: andard normal variable, which, converted to a uniform varia- ::
:: ble, provides input to a KSTEST based on a sample of 10.    ::
::.....

```

CDPARK: result of ten tests on file E:\NEWRAND.BIN

Of 12,000 tries, the average no. of successes
should be 3523 with sigma=21.9

Successes: 3548	z-score: 1.142	p-value: .873180
Successes: 3524	z-score: .046	p-value: .518210
Successes: 3588	z-score: 2.968	p-value: .998501
Successes: 3541	z-score: .822	p-value: .794438
Successes: 3518	z-score: -.228	p-value: .409702
Successes: 3496	z-score: -1.233	p-value: .108811
Successes: 3514	z-score: -.411	p-value: .340551
Successes: 3544	z-score: .959	p-value: .831196
Successes: 3535	z-score: .548	p-value: .708135
Successes: 3526	z-score: .137	p-value: .554479

square size	avg. no. parked	sample sigma
100.	3533.400	23.487

KSTEST for the above 10: p= .692472

\$

.....

```

::          THE MINIMUM DISTANCE TEST          :
:: It does this 100 times::  choose n=8000 random points in a  ::
:: square of side 10000.  Find d, the minimum distance between  ::
:: the (n^2-n)/2 pairs of points.  If the points are truly inde-::
:: pendent uniform, then d^2, the square of the minimum distance::

```


For a sample of size 500: mean
 C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 1 to 24 2.070

duplicate spacings	number observed	number expected
0	59.	67.668
1	126.	135.335
2	139.	135.335
3	102.	90.224
4	51.	45.112
5	17.	18.045
6 to INF	6.	8.282

Chisquare with 6 d.o.f. = 4.85 p-value= .436587
 ::

For a sample of size 500: mean
 C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 2 to 25 2.046

duplicate spacings	number observed	number expected
0	78.	67.668
1	112.	135.335
2	140.	135.335
3	95.	90.224
4	41.	45.112
5	26.	18.045
6 to INF	8.	8.282

Chisquare with 6 d.o.f. = 9.91 p-value= .871355
 ::

For a sample of size 500: mean
 C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 3 to 26 2.060

duplicate spacings	number observed	number expected
0	65.	67.668
1	123.	135.335
2	150.	135.335
3	84.	90.224
4	48.	45.112
5	20.	18.045
6 to INF	10.	8.282

Chisquare with 6 d.o.f. = 4.00 p-value= .323469
 ::

For a sample of size 500: mean
 C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 4 to 27 1.978

duplicate spacings	number observed	number expected
0	74.	67.668
1	129.	135.335
2	140.	135.335
3	93.	90.224
4	35.	45.112
5	18.	18.045
6 to INF	11.	8.282

Chisquare with 6 d.o.f. = 4.29 p-value= .363066
 ::

For a sample of size 500: mean
 C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 5 to 28 2.004

duplicate spacings	number observed	number expected
0	72.	67.668

1	128.	135.335
2	135.	135.335
3	94.	90.224
4	42.	45.112
5	22.	18.045
6 to INF	7.	8.282

Chisquare with 6 d.o.f. = 2.11 p-value= .091066
 ::

For a sample of size 500: mean
 C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 6 to 29 2.088

duplicate spacings	number observed	number expected
0	65.	67.668
1	122.	135.335
2	144.	135.335
3	88.	90.224
4	49.	45.112
5	21.	18.045
6 to INF	11.	8.282

Chisquare with 6 d.o.f. = 3.74 p-value= .288186
 ::

For a sample of size 500: mean
 C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 7 to 30 1.980

duplicate spacings	number observed	number expected
0	70.	67.668
1	132.	135.335
2	139.	135.335
3	85.	90.224
4	52.	45.112
5	18.	18.045
6 to INF	4.	8.282

Chisquare with 6 d.o.f. = 3.83 p-value= .300316
 ::

For a sample of size 500: mean
 C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 8 to 31 2.128

duplicate spacings	number observed	number expected
0	55.	67.668
1	128.	135.335
2	128.	135.335
3	110.	90.224
4	52.	45.112
5	20.	18.045
6 to INF	7.	8.282

Chisquare with 6 d.o.f. = 8.96 p-value= .824360
 ::

For a sample of size 500: mean
 C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 9 to 32 2.026

duplicate spacings	number observed	number expected
0	69.	67.668
1	127.	135.335
2	139.	135.335
3	87.	90.224
4	52.	45.112
5	18.	18.045

.....

Binary rank test for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

Rank test for 32x32 binary matrices:

rows from leftmost 32 bits of each 32-bit integer

rank	observed	expected	(o-e)^2/e	sum
29	228	211.4	1.300562	1.301
30	5105	5134.0	.163925	1.464
31	23126	23103.0	.022804	1.487
32	11541	11551.5	.009589	1.497

chisquare= 1.497 for 3 d. of f.; p-value= .427586

.....

:: This is the BINARY RANK TEST for 6x8 matrices. From each of ::
 :: six random 32-bit integers from the generator under test, a ::
 :: specified byte is chosen, and the resulting six bytes form a ::
 :: 6x8 binary matrix whose rank is determined. That rank can be ::
 :: from 0 to 6, but ranks 0,1,2,3 are rare; their counts are ::
 :: pooled with those for rank 4. Ranks are found for 100,000 ::
 :: random matrices, and a chi-square test is performed on ::
 :: counts for ranks 6,5 and <=4. ::

Binary Rank Test for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,

rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

b-rank test for bits 1 to 8

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	948	944.3	.014	.014
r =5	21737	21743.9	.002	.017
r =6	77315	77311.8	.000	.017

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .00837

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,

rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

b-rank test for bits 2 to 9

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	968	944.3	.595	.595
r =5	21724	21743.9	.018	.613
r =6	77308	77311.8	.000	.613

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .26404

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,

rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

b-rank test for bits 3 to 10

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	900	944.3	2.078	2.078
r =5	21643	21743.9	.468	2.547
r =6	77457	77311.8	.273	2.819

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .75577

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,

rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

b-rank test for bits 4 to 11

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	971	944.3	.755	.755
r =5	21714	21743.9	.041	.796
r =6	77315	77311.8	.000	.796

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .32838

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,

rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

b-rank test for bits 5 to 12

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	919	944.3	.678	.678
r =5	21689	21743.9	.139	.817
r =6	77392	77311.8	.083	.900
p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .36228				

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

b-rank test for bits 6 to 13

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	921	944.3	.575	.575
r =5	21627	21743.9	.628	1.203
r =6	77452	77311.8	.254	1.458
p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .51753				

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

b-rank test for bits 7 to 14

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	988	944.3	2.022	2.022
r =5	21603	21743.9	.913	2.935
r =6	77409	77311.8	.122	3.057
p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .78319				

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

b-rank test for bits 8 to 15

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	883	944.3	3.979	3.979
r =5	21932	21743.9	1.627	5.607
r =6	77185	77311.8	.208	5.815
p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .94538				

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

b-rank test for bits 9 to 16

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	929	944.3	.248	.248
r =5	21738	21743.9	.002	.250
r =6	77333	77311.8	.006	.255
p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .11986				

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

b-rank test for bits 10 to 17

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	949	944.3	.023	.023
r =5	22010	21743.9	3.256	3.280
r =6	77041	77311.8	.949	4.228
p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .87927				

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

b-rank test for bits 11 to 18

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	963	944.3	.370	.370
r =5	21770	21743.9	.031	.402
r =6	77267	77311.8	.026	.428
p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .19247				

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

b-rank test for bits 12 to 19

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	955	944.3	.121	.121
r =5	21744	21743.9	.000	.121
r =6	77301	77311.8	.002	.123

$$p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.05952$$

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN
b-rank test for bits 13 to 20

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	999	944.3	3.168	3.168
r =5	21714	21743.9	.041	3.210
r =6	77287	77311.8	.008	3.218

$$p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.79986$$

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN
b-rank test for bits 14 to 21

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	920	944.3	.625	.625
r =5	21870	21743.9	.731	1.357
r =6	77210	77311.8	.134	1.491

$$p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.52544$$

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN
b-rank test for bits 15 to 22

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	961	944.3	.295	.295
r =5	21870	21743.9	.731	1.027
r =6	77169	77311.8	.264	1.290

$$p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.47543$$

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN
b-rank test for bits 16 to 23

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	951	944.3	.048	.048
r =5	21666	21743.9	.279	.327
r =6	77383	77311.8	.066	.392

$$p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.17806$$

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN
b-rank test for bits 17 to 24

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	945	944.3	.001	.001
r =5	21697	21743.9	.101	.102
r =6	77358	77311.8	.028	.129

$$p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.06260$$

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN
b-rank test for bits 18 to 25

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	945	944.3	.001	.001
r =5	21741	21743.9	.000	.001
r =6	77314	77311.8	.000	.001

$$p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.00048$$

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN
b-rank test for bits 19 to 26

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
--	----------	----------	-----------	-----

r<=4	976	944.3	1.064	1.064
r =5	21766	21743.9	.022	1.087
r =6	77258	77311.8	.037	1.124

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .42993

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN
b-rank test for bits 20 to 27

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	921	944.3	.575	.575
r =5	21630	21743.9	.597	1.172
r =6	77449	77311.8	.243	1.415

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .50714

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN
b-rank test for bits 21 to 28

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	969	944.3	.646	.646
r =5	21427	21743.9	4.619	5.265
r =6	77604	77311.8	1.104	6.369

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .95860

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN
b-rank test for bits 22 to 29

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	919	944.3	.678	.678
r =5	21816	21743.9	.239	.917
r =6	77265	77311.8	.028	.945

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .37666

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN
b-rank test for bits 23 to 30

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	940	944.3	.020	.020
r =5	21573	21743.9	1.343	1.363
r =6	77487	77311.8	.397	1.760

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .58518

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN
b-rank test for bits 24 to 31

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	913	944.3	1.038	1.038
r =5	21525	21743.9	2.204	3.241
r =6	77562	77311.8	.810	4.051

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .86807

Rank of a 6x8 binary matrix,
rows formed from eight bits of the RNG C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN
b-rank test for bits 25 to 32

	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=4	992	944.3	2.409	2.409
r =5	21746	21743.9	.000	2.410
r =6	77262	77311.8	.032	2.442

p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .70501

TEST SUMMARY, 25 tests on 100,000 random 6x8 matrices
These should be 25 uniform [0,1] random variables:

.008369	.264039	.755767	.328377	.362281
.517533	.783187	.945379	.119861	.879273
.192474	.059518	.799863	.525440	.475433

```

    .178058    .062596    .000483    .429927    .507144
    .958599    .376658    .585181    .868069    .705014

```

brank test summary for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

The KS test for those 25 supposed UNI's yields

KS p-value= .332375

\$

::

:: THE BITSTREAM TEST ::

:: The file under test is viewed as a stream of bits. Call them ::
 :: b1,b2,... . Consider an alphabet with two "letters", 0 and 1 ::
 :: and think of the stream of bits as a succession of 20-letter ::
 :: "words", overlapping. Thus the first word is b1b2...b20, the ::
 :: second is b2b3...b21, and so on. The bitstream test counts ::
 :: the number of missing 20-letter (20-bit) words in a string of ::
 :: 2^21 overlapping 20-letter words. There are 2^20 possible 20 ::
 :: letter words. For a truly random string of 2^21+19 bits, the ::
 :: number of missing words j should be (very close to) normally ::
 :: distributed with mean 141,909 and sigma 428. Thus ::
 :: (j-141909)/428 should be a standard normal variate (z score) ::
 :: that leads to a uniform [0,1) p value. The test is repeated ::
 :: twenty times. ::

::

THE OVERLAPPING 20-tuples BITSTREAM TEST, 20 BITS PER WORD, N words

This test uses N=2^21 and samples the bitstream 20 times.

No. missing words should average 141909. with sigma=428.

```

-----
tst no  1: 142337 missing words, 1.00 sigmas from mean, p-value= .84116
tst no  2: 141719 missing words, -.44 sigmas from mean, p-value= .32827
tst no  3: 142101 missing words, .45 sigmas from mean, p-value= .67286
tst no  4: 142246 missing words, .79 sigmas from mean, p-value= .78425
tst no  5: 142713 missing words, 1.88 sigmas from mean, p-value= .96979
tst no  6: 141813 missing words, -.23 sigmas from mean, p-value= .41096
tst no  7: 141095 missing words, -1.90 sigmas from mean, p-value= .02854
tst no  8: 141979 missing words, .16 sigmas from mean, p-value= .56466
tst no  9: 141978 missing words, .16 sigmas from mean, p-value= .56374
tst no 10: 141448 missing words, -1.08 sigmas from mean, p-value= .14055
tst no 11: 142475 missing words, 1.32 sigmas from mean, p-value= .90686
tst no 12: 141784 missing words, -.29 sigmas from mean, p-value= .38483
tst no 13: 142061 missing words, .35 sigmas from mean, p-value= .63847
tst no 14: 141996 missing words, .20 sigmas from mean, p-value= .58024
tst no 15: 142554 missing words, 1.51 sigmas from mean, p-value= .93400
tst no 16: 142208 missing words, .70 sigmas from mean, p-value= .75736
tst no 17: 142268 missing words, .84 sigmas from mean, p-value= .79899
tst no 18: 141631 missing words, -.65 sigmas from mean, p-value= .25775
tst no 19: 142037 missing words, .30 sigmas from mean, p-value= .61726
tst no 20: 142568 missing words, 1.54 sigmas from mean, p-value= .93809

```

\$

::

:: The tests OPSO, OQSO and DNA ::

:: OPSO means Overlapping-Pairs-Sparse-Occupancy ::

:: The OPSO test considers 2-letter words from an alphabet of ::
 :: 1024 letters. Each letter is determined by a specified ten ::
 :: bits from a 32-bit integer in the sequence to be tested. OPSO ::
 :: generates 2^21 (overlapping) 2-letter words (from 2^21+1 ::
 :: "keystrokes") and counts the number of missing words---that ::
 :: is 2-letter words which do not appear in the entire sequence. ::
 :: That count should be very close to normally distributed with ::

```

:: mean 141,909, sigma 290. Thus (missingwrds-141909)/290 should ::
:: be a standard normal variable. The OPSO test takes 32 bits at ::
:: a time from the test file and uses a designated set of ten ::
:: consecutive bits. It then restarts the file for the next de- ::
:: signed 10 bits, and so on. ::
::
:: QQSO means Overlapping-Quadruples-Sparse-Occupancy ::
:: The test QQSO is similar, except that it considers 4-letter ::
:: words from an alphabet of 32 letters, each letter determined ::
:: by a designated string of 5 consecutive bits from the test ::
:: file, elements of which are assumed 32-bit random integers. ::
:: The mean number of missing words in a sequence of 2^21 four- ::
:: letter words, (2^21+3 "keystrokes"), is again 141909, with ::
:: sigma = 295. The mean is based on theory; sigma comes from ::
:: extensive simulation. ::
::
:: The DNA test considers an alphabet of 4 letters:: C,G,A,T, ::
:: determined by two designated bits in the sequence of random ::
:: integers being tested. It considers 10-letter words, so that ::
:: as in OPSO and QQSO, there are 2^20 possible words, and the ::
:: mean number of missing words from a string of 2^21 (over- ::
:: lapping) 10-letter words (2^21+9 "keystrokes") is 141909. ::
:: The standard deviation sigma=339 was determined as for QQSO ::
:: by simulation. (Sigma for OPSO, 290, is the true value (to ::
:: three places), not determined by simulation. ::
::
::

```

OPSO test for generator C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

Output: No. missing words (mw), equiv normal variate (z), p-value (p)

		mw	z	p
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 23 to 32	142407	1.716	.9569	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 22 to 31	141978	.237	.5936	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 21 to 30	141547	-1.249	.1058	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 20 to 29	142198	.995	.8402	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 19 to 28	141791	-.408	.3416	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 18 to 27	142334	1.464	.9285	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 17 to 26	142053	.495	.6898	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 16 to 25	142485	1.985	.9764	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 15 to 24	141743	-.574	.2831	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 14 to 23	141908	-.005	.4982	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 13 to 22	141575	-1.153	.1245	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 12 to 21	142416	1.747	.9597	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 11 to 20	141666	-.839	.2007	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 10 to 19	141198	-2.453	.0071	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 9 to 18	141936	.092	.5366	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 8 to 17	141741	-.580	.2808	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 7 to 16	141493	-1.436	.0756	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 6 to 15	141502	-1.405	.0801	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 5 to 14	141764	-.501	.3081	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 4 to 13	142181	.937	.8256	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 3 to 12	142318	1.409	.9206	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 2 to 11	142220	1.071	.8580	
OPSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 1 to 10	142331	1.454	.9270	

QQSO test for generator C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

Output: No. missing words (mw), equiv normal variate (z), p-value (p)

		mw	z	p
QQSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 28 to 32	141818	-.310	.3784	
QQSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 27 to 31	142423	1.741	.9592	

QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 26 to 30	141466	-1.503	.0664
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 25 to 29	141940	.104	.5414
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 24 to 28	141917	.026	.5104
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 23 to 27	141704	-.696	.2432
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 22 to 26	142065	.528	.7011
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 21 to 25	141829	-.272	.3927
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 20 to 24	141681	-.774	.2195
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 19 to 23	141667	-.821	.2057
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 18 to 22	141789	-.408	.3417
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 17 to 21	141618	-.988	.1617
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 16 to 20	142117	.704	.7593
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 15 to 19	141947	.128	.5508
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 14 to 18	142089	.609	.7288
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 13 to 17	141922	.043	.5171
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 12 to 16	142332	1.433	.9240
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 11 to 15	142244	1.134	.8717
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 10 to 14	141868	-.140	.4443
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 9 to 13	142271	1.226	.8899
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 8 to 12	141644	-.899	.1842
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 7 to 11	142075	.562	.7128
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 6 to 10	141214	-2.357	.0092
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 5 to 9	141833	-.259	.3979
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 4 to 8	141601	-1.045	.1480
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 3 to 7	142746	2.836	.9977
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 2 to 6	141963	.182	.5722
QSO for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 1 to 5	141772	-.466	.3208

DNA test for generator C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

Output: No. missing words (mw), equiv normal variate (z), p-value (p)

	mw	z	p
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 31 to 32	141804	-.311	.3780
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 30 to 31	141684	-.665	.2531
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 29 to 30	141976	.197	.5780
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 28 to 29	142491	1.716	.9569
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 27 to 28	141179	-2.154	.0156
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 26 to 27	142259	1.031	.8488
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 25 to 26	141822	-.258	.3984
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 24 to 25	141653	-.756	.2248
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 23 to 24	141651	-.762	.2230
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 22 to 23	142240	.975	.8353
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 21 to 22	142327	1.232	.8910
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 20 to 21	141742	-.494	.3108
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 19 to 20	141095	-2.402	.0081
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 18 to 19	141421	-1.440	.0749
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 17 to 18	142054	.427	.6652
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 16 to 17	141750	-.470	.3192
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 15 to 16	141698	-.623	.2665
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 14 to 15	141687	-.656	.2560
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 13 to 14	142306	1.170	.8790
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 12 to 13	141990	.238	.5940
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 11 to 12	141734	-.517	.3025
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 10 to 11	141845	-.190	.4247
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 9 to 10	141576	-.983	.1627
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 8 to 9	141871	-.113	.4550
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 7 to 8	141516	-1.160	.1230
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 6 to 7	141539	-1.092	.1373
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 5 to 6	141987	.229	.5906
DNA for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN using bits 4 to 5	142059	.442	.6706

square size avg. no. parked sample sigma
100. 3536.900 30.815

KSTEST for the above 10: p= .937632

\$

.....
:: THE MINIMUM DISTANCE TEST ::
:: It does this 100 times:: choose n=8000 random points in a ::
:: square of side 10000. Find d, the minimum distance between ::
:: the (n^2-n)/2 pairs of points. If the points are truly inde- ::
:: pendent uniform, then d^2, the square of the minimum distance ::
:: should be (very close to) exponentially distributed with mean ::
:: .995 . Thus 1-exp(-d^2/.995) should be uniform on [0,1) and ::
:: a KSTEST on the resulting 100 values serves as a test of uni- ::
:: formity for random points in the square. Test numbers=0 mod 5 ::
:: are printed but the KSTEST is based on the full set of 100 ::
:: random choices of 8000 points in the 10000x10000 square. ::
.....

This is the MINIMUM DISTANCE test
for random integers in the file C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

Sample no.	d^2	avg	equiv uni
5	1.0496	1.7265	.651763
10	3.7585	1.7693	.977119
15	.1927	1.4565	.176092
20	1.2579	1.4485	.717539
25	.0388	1.3062	.038204
30	.3881	1.3274	.323009
35	1.6405	1.2555	.807706
40	.2165	1.3474	.195546
45	.4151	1.3345	.341100
50	1.1583	1.2903	.687805
55	.3658	1.2666	.307604
60	1.0225	1.2174	.642149
65	.5886	1.1981	.446520
70	.1915	1.1542	.175110
75	1.0674	1.1113	.657936
80	.2990	1.1034	.259577
85	.8494	1.0974	.574165
90	.0339	1.0734	.033501
95	.7040	1.0668	.507132
100	3.3530	1.1130	.965604

MINIMUM DISTANCE TEST for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN
Result of KS test on 20 transformed mindist^2's:
p-value= .394877

\$

.....
:: THE 3DSPHERES TEST ::
:: Choose 4000 random points in a cube of edge 1000. At each ::
:: point, center a sphere large enough to reach the next closest ::
:: point. Then the volume of the smallest such sphere is (very ::
:: close to) exponentially distributed with mean 120pi/3. Thus ::
:: the radius cubed is exponential with mean 30. (The mean is ::
:: obtained by extensive simulation). The 3DSPHERES test gener- ::
:: ates 4000 such spheres 20 times. Each min radius cubed leads ::
:: to a uniform variable by means of 1-exp(-r^3/30.), then a ::
:: KSTEST is done on the 20 p-values. ::
.....

The 3DSPHERES test for file C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN


```

:: rix. A linear transformation of the S's converts them to a  ::
:: sequence of independent standard normals, which are converted  ::
:: to uniform variables for a KSTEST. The p-values from ten  ::
:: KSTESTs are given still another KSTEST.  ::
:::

```

```

Test no. 1      p-value .805616
Test no. 2      p-value .430210
Test no. 3      p-value .676714
Test no. 4      p-value .167088
Test no. 5      p-value .319450
Test no. 6      p-value .081973
Test no. 7      p-value .361865
Test no. 8      p-value .350203
Test no. 9      p-value .328437
Test no. 10     p-value .948970

```

Results of the OSUM test for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

KSTEST on the above 10 p-values: .296157

\$

```

:::
:: This is the RUNS test. It counts runs up, and runs down,  ::
:: in a sequence of uniform [0,1) variables, obtained by float-  ::
:: ing the 32-bit integers in the specified file. This example  ::
:: shows how runs are counted: .123,.357,.789,.425,.224,.416,.95  ::
:: contains an up-run of length 3, a down-run of length 2 and an  ::
:: up-run of (at least) 2, depending on the next values. The  ::
:: covariance matrices for the runs-up and runs-down are well  ::
:: known, leading to chisquare tests for quadratic forms in the  ::
:: weak inverses of the covariance matrices. Runs are counted  ::
:: for sequences of length 10,000. This is done ten times. Then  ::
:: repeated.  ::
:::

```

The RUNS test for file C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

Up and down runs in a sample of 10000

Run test for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN:

runs up; ks test for 10 p's: .086549
runs down; ks test for 10 p's: .000898

Run test for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN:

runs up; ks test for 10 p's: .461576
runs down; ks test for 10 p's: .808507

\$

```

:::
:: This is the CRAPS TEST. It plays 200,000 games of craps, finds:  ::
:: the number of wins and the number of throws necessary to end  ::
:: each game. The number of wins should be (very close to) a  ::
:: normal with mean 200000p and variance 200000p(1-p), with  ::
:: p=244/495. Throws necessary to complete the game can vary  ::
:: from 1 to infinity, but counts for all>21 are lumped with 21.  ::
:: A chi-square test is made on the no.-of-throws cell counts.  ::
:: Each 32-bit integer from the test file provides the value for  ::
:: the throw of a die, by floating to [0,1), multiplying by 6  ::
:: and taking 1 plus the integer part of the result.  ::
:::

```

Results of craps test for C:\NEWTRIAL.BIN

No. of wins: Observed Expected

98678 98585.86

98678= No. of wins, z-score= .412 pvalue= .65987

- 8 Monkey Tests OPSO,QQSO,DNA
- 9 Count the 1`s in a Stream of Bytes
- 10 Count the 1`s in Specific Bytes
- 11 Parking Lot Test
- 12 Minimum Distance Test
- 13 Random Spheres Test
- 14 The Squeeze Test
- 15 Overlapping Sums Test
- 16 Runs Up and Down Test
- 17 The Craps Test

(All tests were selected)

```
-----
                This is the BIRTHDAY SPACINGS TEST
Choose m birthdays in a "year" of n days. List the spacings
between the birthdays. Let j be the number of values that
occur more than once in that list, then j is asymptotically
Poisson distributed with mean  $m^3/(4n)$ . Experience shows n
must be quite large, say  $n \geq 2^{18}$ , for comparing the results
to the Poisson distribution with that mean. This test uses
 $n=2^{24}$  and  $m=2^{10}$ , so that the underlying distribution for j
is taken to be Poisson with  $\lambda=2^{30}/(2^{26})=16$ . A sample
of 200 j's is taken, and a chi-square goodness of fit test
provides a p value. The first test uses bits 1-24 (counting
from the left) from 32-bit integers in the specified file.
The file is closed and reopened, then bits 2-25 of the same
integers are used to provide birthdays, and so on to bits
9-32. Each set of bits provides a p-value, and the nine p-
values provide a sample for a KSTEST.
-----
```

RESULTS OF BIRTHDAY SPACINGS FOR newrand2.bin
(no_bdays=1024, no_days/yr= 2^{24} , $\lambda=16.00$, sample size=500)

Bits used	mean	chisqr	p-value
1 to 24	15.73	34.6204	0.993025
2 to 25	15.63	20.2460	0.738159
3 to 26	15.93	16.1953	0.489963
4 to 27	15.75	14.5046	0.368881
5 to 28	15.97	6.1816	0.008120
6 to 29	15.77	13.0799	0.269176
7 to 30	15.61	16.8332	0.534279
8 to 31	15.60	14.4463	0.364705
9 to 32	15.60	22.6172	0.837866

Chisquare degrees of freedom: 17

p-value for KStest on those 9 p-values: 0.787217

```
-----
                This is the "tough" BIRTHDAY SPACINGS TEST
Choose 4096 birthdays in a "year" of  $2^{32}$  days. Thus each
birthday is a 32-bit integer and the test uses  $2^{12}$  of them,
so that j, the number of duplicate spacings, is asymptotically
Poisson distributed with  $\lambda=4$ . Generators that pass the
earlier tests for  $m=1024$  and  $n=2^{24}$  often fail this test, yet
those that pass this test seem to pass the "weaker" test.
-----
```

Each set of 4096 birthdays provide a Poisson variate j , and 500 such j 's lead to a chisquare test to see if the result is consistent with the Poisson distribution with $\lambda=16$.

Tough bday spacings test for newrand2.bin: 4096 birthdays, year= 2^{32} days

	Table of Expected vs. Observed counts:										
Duplicates	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	≥ 10
Expected	9.2	36.6	73.3	97.7	97.7	78.1	52.1	29.8	14.9	6.6	4.1
Observed	11	28	75	83	116	74	52	41	14	5	1
$(O-E)^2/E$	0.4	2.0	0.0	2.2	3.4	0.2	0.0	4.2	0.1	0.4	2.3

Birthdays Spacings: $\text{Sum}(O-E)^2/E = 15.303$, $p = 0.879$

This is the GCD TEST. Let the (32-bit) RNG produce two successive integers u, v . Use Euclids algorithm to find the gcd, say x , of u and v . Let k be the number of steps needed to get x . Then k is approximately binomial with $p=.376$ and $n=50$, while the distribution of x is very close to $\text{Pr}(x=i)=c/i^2$, with $c=6/\pi^2$. The gcd test uses ten million such pairs u, v to see if the resulting frequencies of k 's and x 's are consistent with the above distributions. Congruential RNG's---even those with prime modulus---fail this test for the distribution of k , the number of steps, and often for the distribution of gcd values x as well.

RESULTS OF GCD FOR newrand2.bin

Euclid's algorithm:
 p-value, steps to gcd: 0.209418
 p-value, dist. of gcd's: 0.820534

This is the GORILLA test, a strong version of the monkey tests that I developed in the 70's. It concerns strings formed from specified bits in 32-bit integers from the RNG. We specify the bit position to be studied, from 0 to 31, say bit 3. Then we generate 67,108,889 (2^{26+25}) numbers from the generator and form a string of 2^{26+25} bits by taking bit 3 from each of those numbers. In that string of 2^{26+25} bits we count the number of 26-bit segments that do not appear. That count should be approximately normal with mean 24687971 and std. deviation 4170. This leads to a normal z-score and hence to a p-value. The test is applied for each bit position 0 (leftmost) to 31. (Some older tests use Fortran's 1-32 for most- to least-significant bits. Gorilla and newer tests use C's 0 to 31.)

Gorilla test for 2^{26} bits, positions 0 to 31 for newrand2.bin:
 Note: lengthy test---for example, ~20 minutes for 850MHz PC

Bits 0 to 7---	0.360	0.382	0.529	0.211	0.741	0.021	0.969	0.457
Bits 8 to 15---	0.606	0.918	0.975	0.247	0.398	0.213	0.979	0.456
Bits 16 to 23---	0.808	0.263	0.008	0.400	0.517	0.642	0.740	0.156
Bits 24 to 31---	0.788	0.429	0.551	0.795	0.999	0.615	0.558	0.284

KS test for the above 32 p values: 0.489

 THE OVERLAPPING 5-PERMUTATION TEST

This is the OPERM5 test. It looks at a sequence of ten million 32-bit random integers. Each set of five consecutive integers can be in one of 120 states, for the 5! possible orderings of five numbers. Thus the 5th, 6th, 7th, ... numbers each provide a state. As many thousands of state transitions are observed, cumulative counts are made of the number of occurrences of each state. Then the quadratic form in the weak inverse of the 120x120 covariance matrix yields a test that the 120 cellcounts came from the specified (asymptotic) distribution with the specified means and 120x120 covariance.

The OPERM5 test for 10 million (overlapping) 5-tuples for newrand2.bin, p-values for 5 runs: 0.6344, 0.2554, 0.1665, 0.7111, 0.0663

 This is the BINARY RANK TEST for 31x31 matrices. The leftmost 31 bits of 31 random integers from the test sequence are used to form a 31x31 binary matrix over the field {0,1}. The rank is determined. That rank can be from 0 to 31, but ranks < 28 are rare, and their counts are pooled with those for rank 28. Ranks are found for 40,000 such random matrices and a chisquare test is performed on counts for ranks 31,30,28 and <=28. (The 31x31 choice is based on the unjustified popularity of the proposed "industry standard" generator $x(n) = 16807*x(n-1) \text{ mod } 2^{31}-1$, not a very good one.)

Rank test for binary matrices (31x31) for newrand2.bin

RANK	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=28	216	211.4	0.099	0.099
r= 29	5171	5134.0	0.267	0.366
r= 30	23045	23103.0	0.146	0.512
r= 31	11568	11551.5	0.024	0.535

chi-square = 0.535 with df = 3; p-value = 0.089

 This is the BINARY RANK TEST for 32x32 matrices. A random 32x32 binary matrix is formed, each row a 32-bit random integer. The rank is determined. That rank can be from 0 to 32. Ranks less than 29 are rare, and their counts are pooled with those for rank 29. Ranks are found for 40,000 such random matrices and a chisquare test is performed on counts for ranks 32,31,30 and <=29.

Rank test for binary matrices (32x32) for newrand2.bin

RANK	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	(O-E)^2/E	SUM
r<=29	207	211.4	0.092	0.092
r= 30	5203	5134.0	0.927	1.019
r= 31	23090	23103.0	0.007	1.027
r= 32	11500	11551.5	0.230	1.257

chi-square = 1.257 with df = 3; p-value = 0.261

This is the BINARY RANK TEST for 6x8 matrices. From each of six random 32-bit integers from the generator under test, a specified byte is chosen, and the resulting six bytes form a 6x8 binary matrix whose rank is determined. That rank can be from 0 to 6, but ranks 0,1,2,3 are rare; their counts are pooled with those for rank 4. Ranks are found for 100,000 random matrices, and a chi-square test is performed on counts for ranks <=4, 5 and 6.

Rank test for binary matrices (6x8) for newrand2.bin
b-rank test for bits 1 to 8, p=0.09272
b-rank test for bits 2 to 9, p=0.32264
b-rank test for bits 3 to 10, p=0.89311
b-rank test for bits 4 to 11, p=0.72728
b-rank test for bits 5 to 12, p=0.21117
b-rank test for bits 6 to 13, p=0.72898
b-rank test for bits 7 to 14, p=0.56802
b-rank test for bits 8 to 15, p=0.18166
b-rank test for bits 9 to 16, p=0.29537
b-rank test for bits 10 to 17, p=0.41754
b-rank test for bits 11 to 18, p=0.31572
b-rank test for bits 12 to 19, p=0.92350
b-rank test for bits 13 to 20, p=0.61903
b-rank test for bits 14 to 21, p=0.01732
b-rank test for bits 15 to 22, p=0.52955
b-rank test for bits 16 to 23, p=0.93552
b-rank test for bits 17 to 24, p=0.80753
b-rank test for bits 18 to 25, p=0.20906
b-rank test for bits 19 to 26, p=0.76386
b-rank test for bits 20 to 27, p=0.41064
b-rank test for bits 21 to 28, p=0.04802
b-rank test for bits 22 to 29, p=0.48897
b-rank test for bits 23 to 30, p=0.02318
b-rank test for bits 24 to 31, p=0.54197
b-rank test for bits 25 to 32, p=0.26187

TEST SUMMARY, 25 tests, each on 100,000 random 6x8 matrices
The above should be 25 uniform [0,1] random variables:
The KS test for those 25 supposed UNI's yields p = 0.759780

THE BITSTREAM TEST

The file under test is viewed as a stream of bits. Call them b1,b2,... . Consider an alphabet with two "letters", 0 and 1 and think of the stream of bits as a succession of 20-letter "words", overlapping. Thus the first word is b1b2...b20, the second is b2b3...b21, and so on. The bitstream test counts the number of missing 20-letter (20-bit) words in a string of 2^{21} overlapping 20-letter words. There are 2^{20} possible 20 letter words. For a truly random string of $2^{21}+19$ bits, the number of missing words j should be (very close to) normally distributed with mean 141,909 and sigma 428. Thus $(j-141909)/428$ should be a standard normal variate (z score) that leads to a uniform [0,1) p value. The test is repeated twenty times.

THE OVERLAPPING 20-TUPLES BITSTREAM TEST for newrand2.bin
 (20 bits/word, 2097152 words 20 bitstreams. No. missing words
 should average 141909.33 with sigma=428.00.)

 BITSTREAM test results.

Bitstream	No. missing words	z-score	p-value
1	142076	0.39	0.651516
2	141843	-0.15	0.438420
3	141562	-0.81	0.208534
4	141618	-0.68	0.248038
5	142151	0.56	0.713844
6	140896	-2.37	0.008952
7	141373	-1.25	0.105083
8	142360	1.05	0.853822
9	142243	0.78	0.782188
10	141206	-1.64	0.050161
11	141982	0.17	0.567412
12	141362	-1.28	0.100482
13	141512	-0.93	0.176615
14	142128	0.51	0.695293
15	141272	-1.49	0.068232
16	142355	1.04	0.851128
17	141516	-0.92	0.179049
18	142208	0.70	0.757357
19	141690	-0.51	0.304167
20	141207	-1.64	0.050403

 OPSO means Overlapping-Pairs-Sparse-Occupancy
 The OPSO test considers 2-letter words from an alphabet of
 1024 letters. Each letter is determined by a specified ten
 bits from a 32-bit integer in the sequence to be tested. OPSO
 generates 2^{21} (overlapping) 2-letter words (from $2^{21}+1$
 "keystrokes") and counts the number of missing words---that
 is, 2-letter words which do not appear in the entire sequence.
 That count should be very close to normally distributed with
 mean 141,909, sigma 290. Thus $(\text{missingwrds}-141909)/290$ should
 be a standard normal variable. The OPSO test takes 32 bits at
 a time from the test file and uses a designated set of ten
 consecutive bits. It then restarts the file for the next de-
 signated 10 bits, and so on.

OPSO test for newrand2.bin

Bits used	No. missing words	z-score	p-value
23 to 32	141615	-1.0149	0.155069
22 to 31	141780	-0.4460	0.327811
21 to 30	142043	0.4609	0.677576
20 to 29	142054	0.4989	0.691062
19 to 28	141888	-0.0736	0.470684
18 to 27	141815	-0.3253	0.372486
17 to 26	141553	-1.2287	0.109588
16 to 25	142008	0.3402	0.633163
15 to 24	141704	-0.7080	0.239462

14 to 23	142454	1.8782	0.969821
13 to 22	141960	0.1747	0.569352
12 to 21	141260	-2.2391	0.012576
11 to 20	141796	-0.3908	0.347975
10 to 19	141782	-0.4391	0.330306
9 to 18	142183	0.9437	0.827336
8 to 17	141936	0.0920	0.536637
7 to 16	141697	-0.7322	0.232032
6 to 15	141908	-0.0046	0.498170
5 to 14	141892	-0.0598	0.476174
4 to 13	141479	-1.4839	0.068918
3 to 12	141603	-1.0563	0.145413
2 to 11	141530	-1.3080	0.095431
1 to 10	142182	0.9402	0.826453

 | QQSO means Overlapping-Quadruples-Sparse-Occupancy |
 | The test QQSO is similar, except that it considers 4-letter |
 | words from an alphabet of 32 letters, each letter determined |
 | by a designated string of 5 consecutive bits from the test |
 | file, elements of which are assumed 32-bit random integers. |
 | The mean number of missing words in a sequence of 2^{21} four- |
 | letter words, ($2^{21}+3$ "keystrokes"), is again 141909, with |
 | sigma = 295. The mean is based on theory; sigma comes from |
extensive simulation.

QQSO test for newrand2.bin

Bits used	No. missing words	z-score	p-value
28 to 32	141904	-0.0181	0.492792
27 to 31	141632	-0.9401	0.173583
26 to 30	142306	1.3446	0.910630
25 to 29	141824	-0.2893	0.386193
24 to 28	142291	1.2938	0.902132
23 to 27	142313	1.3684	0.914402
22 to 26	141968	0.1989	0.578822
21 to 25	141567	-1.1604	0.122935
20 to 24	141842	-0.2282	0.409731
19 to 23	142036	0.4294	0.666180
18 to 22	141301	-2.0621	0.019597
17 to 21	141752	-0.5333	0.296905
16 to 20	141978	0.2328	0.592034
15 to 19	142013	0.3514	0.637365
14 to 18	141698	-0.7164	0.236881
13 to 17	142000	0.3074	0.620714
12 to 16	141644	-0.8994	0.184214
11 to 15	141908	-0.0045	0.498201
10 to 14	142032	0.4158	0.661233
9 to 13	142321	1.3955	0.918566
8 to 12	141886	-0.0791	0.468483
7 to 11	141689	-0.7469	0.227568
6 to 10	142035	0.4260	0.664946
5 to 9	141871	-0.1299	0.448310
4 to 8	142496	1.9887	0.976634
3 to 7	141523	-1.3096	0.095167
2 to 6	142243	1.1311	0.870990
1 to 5	142287	1.2802	0.899769

The DNA test considers an alphabet of 4 letters: C,G,A,T, determined by two designated bits in the sequence of random integers being tested. It considers 10-letter words, so that as in OPSO and QQSO, there are 2^{20} possible words, and the mean number of missing words from a string of 2^{21} (overlapping) 10-letter words ($2^{21}+9$ "keystrokes") is 141909. The standard deviation $\sigma=339$ was determined as for QQSO by simulation. (Sigma for OPSO, 290, is the true value (to three places), not determined by simulation.)

DNA test for newrand2.bin

Bits used	No. missing words	z-score	p-value
31 to 32	141671	-0.7030	0.241016
30 to 31	141809	-0.2960	0.383631
29 to 30	141658	-0.7414	0.229230
28 to 29	141462	-1.3196	0.093491
27 to 28	141310	-1.7679	0.038536
26 to 27	142301	1.1554	0.876030
25 to 26	141513	-1.1691	0.121179
24 to 25	142393	1.4268	0.923175
23 to 24	142607	2.0580	0.980206
22 to 23	141578	-0.9774	0.164192
21 to 22	142077	0.4946	0.689559
20 to 21	141114	-2.3461	0.009485
19 to 20	141843	-0.1957	0.422437
18 to 19	141566	-1.0128	0.155584
17 to 18	142368	1.3530	0.911974
16 to 17	141710	-0.5880	0.278268
15 to 16	141876	-0.0983	0.460840
14 to 15	142305	1.1672	0.878429
13 to 14	142229	0.9430	0.827154
12 to 13	141947	0.1111	0.544240
11 to 12	141865	-0.1308	0.447980
10 to 11	141566	-1.0128	0.155584
9 to 10	141742	-0.4936	0.310795
8 to 9	141640	-0.7945	0.213457
7 to 8	141635	-0.8092	0.209191
6 to 7	142044	0.3973	0.654411
5 to 6	142317	1.2026	0.885428
4 to 5	141423	-1.4346	0.075700
3 to 4	141474	-1.2842	0.099543
2 to 3	141796	-0.3343	0.369074
1 to 2	142205	0.8722	0.808446

This is the COUNT-THE-1's TEST on a stream of bytes. Consider the file under test as a stream of bytes (four per 32 bit integer). Each byte can contain from 0 to 8 1's with probabilities 1,8,28,56,70,56,28,8,1 over 256. Now let the stream of bytes provide a string of overlapping 5-letter words, each "letter" taking values A,B,C,D,E. The letters are determined by the number of 1's in a byte: 0,1,or 2 yield A 3 yields B, 4 yields C, 5 yields D and 6,7 or 8 yield E. Thus we have a monkey at a typewriter hitting five keys with vari-

ous probabilities (37,56,70,56,37 over 256). There are 5^5 possible 5-letter words, and from a string of 256,000 (overlapping) 5-letter words, counts are made on the frequencies for each word. The quadratic form in the weak inverse of the covariance matrix of the cell counts provides a chisquare test: Q5-Q4, the difference of the naive Pearson sums of $(OBS-EXP)^2/EXP$ on counts for 5- and 4-letter cell counts.

Test result COUNT-THE-1's in bytes for newrand2.bin
(Degrees of freedom: $5^4-5^3=2500$; sample size: 2560000)

chisquare	z-score	p-value
2526.64	0.377	0.646833

This is the COUNT-THE-1's TEST for specific bytes. Consider the file under test as a stream of 32-bit integers. From each integer, a specific byte is chosen, say the left-most: bits 1 to 8. Each byte can contain from 0 to 8 1's, with probabilities 1,8,28,56,70,56,28,8,1 over 256. Now let the specified bytes from successive integers provide a string of (overlapping) 5-letter words, each "letter" taking values A,B,C,D,E. The letters are determined by the number of 1's, in that byte: 0,1, or 2 ---> A, 3 ---> B, 4 ---> C, 5 ---> D, and 6,7 or 8 ---> E. Thus we have a monkey at a typewriter hitting five keys with various probabilities: 37,56,70,56,37 over 256. There are 5^5 possible 5-letter words, and from a string of 256,000 (overlapping) 5-letter words, counts are made on the frequencies for each word. The quadratic form in the weak inverse of the covariance matrix of the cell counts provides a chisquare test: Q5-Q4, the difference of the naive Pearson sums of $(OBS-EXP)^2/EXP$ on counts for 5- and 4-letter cell counts.

Test results for specific bytes for newrand2.bin
(Degrees of freedom: $5^4-5^3=2500$; sample size: 256000)

bits used	chisquare	z-score	p-value
1 to 8	2456.36	-0.617	0.268587
2 to 9	2452.14	-0.677	0.249260
3 to 10	2589.52	1.266	0.897245
4 to 11	2407.69	-1.305	0.095867
5 to 12	2424.68	-1.065	0.143396
6 to 13	2372.58	-1.802	0.035773
7 to 14	2543.39	0.614	0.730290
8 to 15	2491.48	-0.121	0.452038
9 to 16	2471.22	-0.407	0.341982
10 to 17	2429.95	-0.991	0.160927
11 to 18	2469.52	-0.431	0.333210
12 to 19	2429.83	-0.992	0.160509
13 to 20	2471.39	-0.405	0.342888
14 to 21	2482.94	-0.241	0.404698
15 to 22	2433.80	-0.936	0.174565
16 to 23	2615.54	1.634	0.948873
17 to 24	2466.07	-0.480	0.315665
18 to 25	2537.98	0.537	0.704407
19 to 26	2536.60	0.518	0.697632

20 to 27	2476.77	-0.329	0.371253
21 to 28	2480.30	-0.279	0.390294
22 to 29	2487.76	-0.173	0.431298
23 to 30	2405.35	-1.339	0.090366
24 to 31	2396.29	-1.467	0.071235
25 to 32	2487.18	-0.181	0.428055

```

-----
                THIS IS A PARKING LOT TEST
In a square of side 100, randomly "park" a car---a circle of
radius 1.  Then try to park a 2nd, a 3rd, and so on, each
time parking "by ear".  That is, if an attempt to park a car
causes a crash with one already parked, try again at a new
random location. (To avoid path problems, consider parking
helicopters rather than cars.)  Each attempt leads to either
a crash or a success, the latter followed by an increment to
the list of cars already parked.  If we plot n: the number of
attempts, versus k: the number successfully parked, we get a
curve that should be similar to those provided by a perfect
random number generator.  Theory for the behavior of such a
random curve seems beyond reach, and as graphics displays are
not available for this battery of tests, a simple characteriz-
ation of the random experiment is used: k, the number of cars
successfully parked after n=12,000 attempts.  Simulation shows
that k should average 3523 with sigma 21.9 and be approximate
to normally distributed.  Thus (k-3523)/21.9 should serve as
a standard normal variable, which, converted to a p uniform
in [0,1), provides input to a KSTEST based on a sample of 10.
-----

```

CDPARK for newrand2.bin: result of 10 tests
(Of 12000 tries, the average no. of successes should be
3523.0 with sigma=21.9)

No. successes	z-score	p-value
3538	0.6849	0.753306
3513	-0.4566	0.323972
3537	0.6393	0.738676
3511	-0.5479	0.291865
3510	-0.5936	0.276387
3483	-1.8265	0.033889
3522	-0.0457	0.481790
3519	-0.1826	0.427537
3552	1.3242	0.907282
3565	1.9178	0.972432

Square side=100, avg. no. parked=3525.00 sample std.=22.40
p-value of the KSTEST for those 10 p-values: 0.943103

```

-----
                THE MINIMUM DISTANCE TEST
It does this ten times:  choose n=8000 random points in a
square of side 10000.  Find d, the minimum distance between
the (n^2-n)/2 pairs of points.  If the points are truly inde-
pendent uniform, then d^2, the square of the minimum distance
should be (very close to) exponentially distributed with mean
.995 .  Thus 1-exp(-d^2/.995) should provide a p-value and a
KSTEST on the resulting 10 values serves as a test of uni-
formity for those samples of 8000 random points in a square.
-----

```

Results for the MINIMUM DISTANCE test for newrand2.bin
0.3149,0.8560,0.9121,0.2217,0.3543,0.1292,0.2830,0.6699,0.7417,0.8427,
The KS test for those 10 p-values: 0.838067

THE 3DSPHERES TEST

Choose 4000 random points in a cube of edge 1000. At each point, center a sphere large enough to reach the next closest point. Then the volume of the smallest such sphere is (very close to) exponentially distributed with mean $120\pi/3$. Thus the radius cubed is exponential with mean 30. (The mean is obtained by extensive simulation). The 3DSPHERES test generates 4000 such spheres 20 times. Each min radius cubed leads to a uniform variable by means of $1-\exp(-r^3/30.)$, then a KSTEST is done on the 20 p-values.

The 3DSPHERES test for newrand2.bin

sample no	r ³	equiv. uni.
1	12.453	0.339731
2	62.464	0.875336
3	43.959	0.768994
4	37.578	0.714238
5	27.934	0.605899
6	37.830	0.716630
7	33.715	0.674969
8	10.352	0.291817
9	13.539	0.363210
10	15.376	0.401025
11	2.634	0.084042
12	21.688	0.514680
13	1.541	0.050064
14	60.051	0.864893
15	39.279	0.729994
16	40.294	0.738973
17	178.645	0.997407
18	13.945	0.371755
19	8.834	0.255072
20	4.757	0.146626

p-value for KS test on those 20 p-values: 0.852290

This is the SQUEEZE test

Random integers are floated to get uniforms on [0,1). Starting with $k=2^{31}=2147483647$, the test finds j , the number of iterations necessary to reduce k to 1, using the reduction $k=\text{ceiling}(k*U)$, with U provided by floating integers from the file being tested. Such j 's are found 100,000 times, then counts for the number of times j was $\leq 6, 7, \dots, 47, \geq 48$ are used to provide a chi-square test for cell frequencies.

RESULTS OF SQUEEZE TEST FOR newrand2.bin

Table of standardized frequency counts
 (obs-exp)²/exp for j=(1,...,6), 7,...,47,(48,...)

-0.8	0.1	0.6	-1.1	-0.5	-0.4
-0.9	0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.7
0.2	-0.1	-0.5	0.3	0.3	0.6
0.7	0.6	-0.4	-0.5	-0.5	0.5
-0.2	-0.7	0.1	0.2	-0.3	-0.7
0.8	1.2	1.2	-0.8	1.7	-0.3
-1.6	-0.4	1.7	-0.7	-0.6	0.0
-1.1					

Chi-square with 42 degrees of freedom:23.294176
 z-score=-2.040973, p-value=0.008561

 The OVERLAPPING SUMS test

Integers are floated to get a sequence U(1),U(2),... of uniform [0,1) variables. Then overlapping sums, S(1)=U(1)+...+U(100), S2=U(2)+...+U(101),... are formed. The S's are virtually normal with a certain covariance matrix. A linear transformation of the S's converts them to a sequence of independent standard normals, which are converted to uniform variables for a KSTEST.

Results of the OSUM test for newrand2.bin

Test no	p-value
1	0.067823
2	0.222069
3	0.559032
4	0.468270
5	0.098649
6	0.266906
7	0.344521
8	0.303990
9	0.261359
10	0.152020

p-value for 10 kstests on 100 sums: 0.017349

 This is the UP-DOWN RUNS test. An up-run of length n has $x_1 < \dots < x_n$ and $x_n > x_{(n+1)}$, while a down-run of length n has $x_1 > \dots > x_n$ and $x_n < x_{(n+1)}$. The value that ends a run is not part of the run that follows, so a long sequence of numbers contains independent runs, up or down, of length k with probability $2^k/(k+1)!$. This test generates values until 100,000 up- and 100,000 down-runs are found, then it tests to see if the frequencies of lengths for 100,000 of each type are consistent with underlying theory. It also tests to see if the number of values needed to get 100,000 of each type is consistent with theory.

Chisq= 18.16 for 20 degrees of freedom, p= 0.42315

SUMMARY of crptest
p-value for no. of wins: 0.377350
p-value for throws/game: 0.423151

| This is the CRAPS TEST with different dice. Each die value is |
| determined by the rightmost three bits of the 32-bit random |
| integer; values 1 to 6 are accepted, others rejected. As in |
| the first test, 200,000 games of craps are played, counting |
| the number of wins and the number of throws necessary to end |
| each game. The number of wins should be (very close to) a |
| normal with mean $200000p$ and variance $200000p(1-p)$, and |
| $p=244/495$. Throws necessary to complete the game can vary |
| from 1 to infinity, but counts for all >21 are lumped with 21. |
A chi-square test is made on the no.-of-throws cell counts.

RESULTS OF CRAPS TEST2 for newrand2.bin
No. of wins: Observed Expected
 98583 98585.9
z-score=-0.013, pvalue=0.49490

Analysis of Throws-per-Game:

Throws	Observed	Expected	Chisq	Sum of (O-E)^2/E
1	66587	66666.7	0.095	0.095
2	37875	37654.3	1.293	1.389
3	26712	26954.7	2.186	3.574
4	19308	19313.5	0.002	3.576
5	13931	13851.4	0.457	4.033
6	9701	9943.5	5.916	9.949
7	7234	7145.0	1.108	11.057
8	5203	5139.1	0.795	11.852
9	3774	3699.9	1.485	13.338
10	2746	2666.3	2.383	15.720
11	1910	1923.3	0.092	15.813
12	1354	1388.7	0.869	16.682
13	1021	1003.7	0.298	16.980
14	713	726.1	0.238	17.217
15	534	525.8	0.127	17.344
16	387	381.2	0.090	17.434
17	267	276.5	0.329	17.763
18	174	200.8	3.584	21.347
19	145	146.0	0.007	21.354
20	107	106.2	0.006	21.360
21	317	287.1	3.111	24.471

Chisq= 24.47 for 20 degrees of freedom, p= 0.77756

SUMMARY of crptest
p-value for no. of wins: 0.494900
p-value for throws/game: 0.777559

***** TEST SUMMARY *****

All p-values:

0.9930,0.7382,0.4900,0.3689,0.0081,0.2692,0.5343,0.3647,0.8379,0.7872,
0.8786,0.2094,0.8205,0.3602,0.3823,0.5289,0.2113,0.7410,0.0210,0.9688,
0.4565,0.6064,0.9177,0.9747,0.2474,0.3979,0.2128,0.9790,0.4561,0.8079,
0.2626,0.0084,0.3999,0.5171,0.6421,0.7397,0.1561,0.7885,0.4287,0.5508,
0.7950,0.9995,0.6153,0.5583,0.2837,0.4886,0.6344,0.2554,0.1665,0.7111,
0.0663,0.0889,0.2605,0.0927,0.3226,0.8931,0.7273,0.2112,0.7290,0.5680,
0.1817,0.2954,0.4175,0.3157,0.9235,0.6190,0.0173,0.5296,0.9355,0.8075,
0.2091,0.7639,0.4106,0.0480,0.4890,0.0232,0.5420,0.2619,0.7598,0.6515,
0.4384,0.2085,0.2480,0.7138,0.0090,0.1051,0.8538,0.7822,0.0502,0.5674,
0.1005,0.1766,0.6953,0.0682,0.8511,0.1790,0.7574,0.3042,0.0504,0.1551,
0.3278,0.6776,0.6911,0.4707,0.3725,0.1096,0.6332,0.2395,0.9698,0.5694,
0.0126,0.3480,0.3303,0.8273,0.5366,0.2320,0.4982,0.4762,0.0689,0.1454,
0.0954,0.8265,0.4928,0.1736,0.9106,0.3862,0.9021,0.9144,0.5788,0.1229,
0.4097,0.6662,0.0196,0.2969,0.5920,0.6374,0.2369,0.6207,0.1842,0.4982,
0.6612,0.9186,0.4685,0.2276,0.6649,0.4483,0.9766,0.0952,0.8710,0.8998,
0.2410,0.3836,0.2292,0.0935,0.0385,0.8760,0.1212,0.9232,0.9802,0.1642,
0.6896,0.0095,0.4224,0.1556,0.9120,0.2783,0.4608,0.8784,0.8272,0.5442,
0.4480,0.1556,0.3108,0.2135,0.2092,0.6544,0.8854,0.0757,0.0995,0.3691,
0.8084,0.6468,0.2686,0.2493,0.8972,0.0959,0.1434,0.0358,0.7303,0.4520,
0.3420,0.1609,0.3332,0.1605,0.3429,0.4047,0.1746,0.9489,0.3157,0.7044,
0.6976,0.3713,0.3903,0.4313,0.0904,0.0712,0.4281,0.7533,0.3240,0.7387,
0.2919,0.2764,0.0339,0.4818,0.4275,0.9073,0.9724,0.9431,0.3149,0.8560,
0.9121,0.2217,0.3543,0.1292,0.2830,0.6699,0.7417,0.8427,0.8381,0.3397,
0.8753,0.7690,0.7142,0.6059,0.7166,0.6750,0.2918,0.3632,0.4010,0.0840,
0.5147,0.0501,0.8649,0.7300,0.7390,0.9974,0.3718,0.2551,0.1466,0.8523,
0.0086,0.0678,0.2221,0.5590,0.4683,0.0986,0.2669,0.3445,0.3040,0.2614,
0.1520,0.0173,0.3142,0.4028,0.0551,0.3773,0.4232,0.4949,0.7776,

Overall p-value after applying KStest on 269 p-values = 0.036998

In response to requests, we have provided a list of all the p-values produced by the tests you have chosen for this run. The individual p-values are supposed to be uniform in $[0,1)$, but they are not necessarily independent. So even though we have applied a KSTEST to the accumulated p-values, the result is not necessarily--even if your file contains truly random bits--uniform in $[0,1)$. But it is probably pretty close, so take that last p-value with a grain of salt. In particular, there may be some values so close to 0 or 1 that the tests they came from should be applied several more times, or new, related tests should be undertaken.

